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\begin{aligned}
& x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{3,1}, x_{1,2} \\
& x_{2,1}, x_{3,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}
\end{aligned}
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| $\|$$\mid x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{3,1}, x_{1,2}$ <br> $x_{2,1}, x_{3,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}$ <br> $x_{3,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}, x_{3,2}$ <br> $x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}, x_{3,2}, x_{1,3}$ <br> $x_{2,2}, x_{3,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{2,3}$ |
| ---: |
| $x_{3,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{2,3}, x_{3,3}$ |

## Primal graph

Vertices are variables of the formula $F$.
Edge $(x, y)$ iff $x$ and $y$ occur in the same clause.


$$
\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{3} \vee x_{4} \vee \neg x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \vee x_{5} \vee x_{6}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{3} \vee x_{5} \vee \neg x_{7}\right)
$$

## Incidence graph

By associating a graph to a formula:
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## Structure of formulas

- Primal treewidth of $F: \operatorname{ptw}(F)$ (tw of the primal graph)
- Incidence treewidth of $F: \operatorname{itw}(F)$ (tw of the incidence graph).

Theorem

- $\operatorname{itw}(F) \leq p t w(F)+1$.
- There exists $F_{n}$ with ptw $\left(F_{n}\right)=n$ and $\operatorname{itw}\left(F_{n}\right)=1$.

Incidence treewidth is strictly more general than primal treewidth. Let's sketch a proof on the blackboard.

## Exploiting the structure of formulas

Warm up:
Theorem \#SAT parametrised by ptw is FPT.

More precisely, we can count the number of solution of $F$ in time $2^{O(k)} \cdot$ poly $(|F|)$ where $k=\operatorname{ptw}(F)$.
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More precisely, we can count the number of solution of $F$ in time $2^{O(k)} \cdot \operatorname{poly}(|F|)$ where $k=\operatorname{ptw}(F)$.

Actually, our algorithm is a compilation algorithm to FPT-size decision-DNNF.

## Let's do better

Theorem
A CNF formula $F$ can be compiled into an FPT-size d-DNNF parametrised by itw.
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You want more?

## Zoology!



